

Challenge Sep-2020

Compressing Decision Tables

A solution with DT5GL by Jack Jansonius – 7 October 2020

The challenge involves this time, as mentioned on the website:
Compress the following multi-hit decision table.

Type	Adjustment	Adjustment	Loss	Loss	Classified As
					NONE
31	>200		<-150		TOP
31		<200		>=-189	BOTTOM
32	>500		<-1000		TOP
32		<500		>=-99	BOTTOM
33	>500		<-1000		TOP
33		<500		>=-100	BOTTOM
34	>500		<-1000		TOP
34		<500		>=-100	BOTTOM
35	>500		<-800		TOP
35		<500		>=-100	BOTTOM
36	>500		<-800		TOP
36		<500		>=-100	BOTTOM
37	>500		<-2000		TOP
37		<500		>=0	BOTTOM

First of all, a compliment to the submitter of this challenge; it took quite some effort to figure out the logic in this decision table!

After a lot of puzzling I actually came up with the same rules as the given decision table; the only compression I realized is in merging rules with the same conditions and condition values.

Solution 1 (11 rules):

Table 0: Classify

If:	0 1 2
'Classification is Top'	Y N N
'Classification is Bottom'	- Y N
Then:	
Classification is Top	X
Classification is Bottom	X
Classification is None	X
#	

rTable 1: Classify as Top

If:	0 1 2 3
Adjustment > 500	Y Y Y -
Adjustment > 200	- - - Y
Loss < -2000	Y - - -
Loss < -1000	- Y - -
Loss < -800	- - Y -
Loss < -150	- - - Y
Type = 37	Y - - -
Type in [32,33,34]	- Y - -
Type in [35,36]	- - Y -
Type = 31	- - - Y
Then:	
'Classification is Top'	X X X X
#	

rTable 2: Classify as Bottom

If:	0 1 2 3
Adjustment < 500	Y Y Y -
Adjustment < 200	- - - Y
Loss >= -189	- - - Y
Loss >= -100	Y - - -
Loss >= -99	- Y - -
Loss >= 0	- - Y -
Type = 31	- - - Y
Type in [33,34,35,36]	Y - - -
Type = 32	- Y - -
Type = 37	- - Y -
Then:	
'Classification is Bottom'	X X X X
#	

GoalAttribute: Classification

Case: None

Print: "Classification is None"

Case: Top

Print: "Classification is Top"

Case: Bottom

Print: "Classification is Bottom"

Attribute: Type

Askable_using: "Type.....: "

Attribute: Adjustment

Askable_using: "Adjustment...: "

Attribute: Loss

Askable_using: "Loss.....: "

Solution 2 (9 rules):

rTable 0: Classify as Top

If:	0 1 2 3
Adjustment > 500	Y Y Y -
Adjustment > 200	- - - Y
Loss < -2000	Y - - -
Loss < -1000	- Y - -
Loss < -800	- - Y -
Loss < -150	- - - Y
Type = 37	Y - - -
Type in [32,33,34]	- Y - -
Type in [35,36]	- - Y -
Type = 31	- - - Y
Then:	
Classification is Top	X X X X
#	

rTable 1: Classify as Bottom

If:	0 1 2 3
Adjustment < 500	Y Y Y -
Adjustment < 200	- - - Y
Loss >= -189	- - - Y
Loss >= -100	Y - - -
Loss >= -99	- Y - -
Loss >= 0	- - Y -
Type = 31	- - - Y
Type in [33,34,35,36]	Y - - -
Type = 32	- Y - -
Type = 37	- - Y -
Then:	
Classification is Bottom	X X X X
#	

rTable 2: Classify as None

If:	0
'Finally'	-
Then:	
Classification is None	X
#	

GoalAttribute: Classification

Case: Top

Print: "Classification is Top"

Case: Bottom

Print: "Classification is Bottom"

Case: None

Print: "Classification is None"

Attribute: Type

Askable_using: "Type.....: "

Attribute: Adjustment

Askable_using: "Adjustment...: "

Attribute: Loss

Askable_using: "Loss.....: "

And the analysis that (at the first attempt) went wrong.....

Table 1: Classify as Top

[illegible]

rTable 1: Classify as Top

```

If:
Adjustment > 500
Adjustment > 200
Loss < -2000
Loss < -1000
Loss < -800
Loss < -150
Type = 37
Type in [32,33,34]
Type in [35,36]
Type = 31
Then:
'Classification is Top'
# .....

```



(replace each 'N' with '-')

rTable 1: Classify as Top

```

If:
Adjustment > 500
Adjustment > 200
Loss < -2000
Loss < -1000
Loss < -800
Loss < -150
Type = 37
Type in [32,33,34]
Type in [35,36]
Type = 31
Then:
'Classification is Top'
# .....

```