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- GILT:
- Online retailer selling curated collections of fashion products via flash sales
- Expected Functionality:
- Utilize sales history to predict demand for everchanging assortments of thousands of products
- Collaborate with business domain experts to quickly generate optimal prices that can immediately go live on site
- A combination of Machine Learning, Business Rules, and Multi-Objective Optimization:
- Predictive Analytics
- R, xgboost
- Business Rules
- OpenRules
- Optimization
- OpenRules/JSR-331 with various linear solvers


## Before Gilt - sample sales



## Gilt pioneered online "flash sales" in US



## LIFESTYLE MARKETING PLATFORM

Gilt is a members-only lifestyle destination and ecommerce site that provides insider access to today's top designer brands as well as exclusive local experiences.


## GILT <br> Global Reach

### 9.7M+

active members

## 7K+

packages shipped daily

## 1M+

active mobile app users*

## 1B+

highest press impressions from a single partnership**

## 400

sales launch weekly

## 100

 countries shipped to
## 50\%

of revenue is generated via mobile purchases

## $1.5 \mathrm{M}+$

social media followers

## GILT

How to price thousands of items every day?


Sergio Rossi
Secret Pointed-Toe Pump (eson \$349


Aperial
Leather Fringed Pump
trame \$.449

2lent


Giusegpe Zenotil
Pcinted-Toe Pump
4 (20) $\$ 897$


PURE NAVY Pointed-Toe Pump $\$ 99 . \$ 89$


Maiden Lane
Classic Leather Pointed-Toe Pump enter $\$ 79$



Firia
Gisele Painted-Toe Pump 4e00 \$2.49


Corso Camo
Wetsley Laser-Out Leather Purna $4 \times 58589$


Conno Come
Welskoy Later-Cn Leather Pump



GIL'T

- Predict demand for every product in a given sale for all possible prices
- Find the best combination of prices to satisfy business objectives (weighted mix of revenue, margin, sell-through, etc)
- Present price recommendations to business


## How it's done




## 1. Data Preparation



## 2. Demand Prediction

Example: Predicted Demand and Revenue at different Prices


## 3. Price Optimization

- Goals:
- optimize per product and per sale
- allow business user to set goals (revenue, sell-through, margin, or combination)
- Iterate quickly


## Sample Rules

| Minimal Number of Previous Exposures |  | Variable | <oper> | Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Is | 0 | Minimum Discount from MSRP | Is | 20 | Initial Sales |
| Is | 0 | Percent Difference from Original Price |  | 40 |  |
| Is | 0 | Minimal Margin Percent |  | 40 |  |
| Is | 0 | Minimal Sell Through Percent |  | 20 |  |
| Is | 1 | Minimum Discount from MSRP | Is | 20 | Repeat Sales |
| Is | 1 | Percent Difference from Original Price |  | 40 |  |
| Is | 1 | Minimal Margin Percent |  | 30 |  |
| Is | 1 | Minimal Sell Through Percent |  | 20 |  |
| Is | 10 | Minimum Discount from MSRP | Is | 60 | Exit Sales |
| Is | 10 | Percent Difference from Original Price |  | 40 |  |
| Is | 10 | Minimal Margin Percent |  | 5 |  |
| Is | 10 | Minimal Sell Through Percent |  | 40 |  |

## Optimization Weights

Variable
<oper>
Value

| Gross Revenue Weight |  | 2 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross Margin Weight | Is |  |
| Gross Sell Through Weight |  | 5 |

Sample Results For A Sale:

| Target | Revenue | Margin | Sell-through |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Max Revenue | $\$ 6,606$ | $58 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| Max Margin | $\$ 4,289$ | $67 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| Max Sell-through | $\$ 5,628$ | $48 \%$ | $24 \%$ |

## GILT <br> Per sale optimization

Best predictors of demand (number of units sold):

- Number of units available
- Price, Discount, MSRP
- Item price relative to the prices of other items in the sale
- Product attributes, etc

Prediction changes:
Before: predict demand for all acceptable prices
Now: same as before but for all possible totals

## GILT

## Example



Prices: \$2 or \$4

Prices: \$1 or \$3

Price total: \$3-\$7

- Apply constraints early
- Calculate all the totals

| Item | Price | Total | Demand |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ball | \$2 | \$3 | 4 |
| Ball | \$2 | \$4 | 4 |
| Ball | \$2 | \$5 | 4 |
| Ball | \$2 | \$6 | 3 |
| Ball | \$2 | \$7 | 3 |
| Ball | \$4 | \$3 | 2 |
| Ball | \$4 | \$4 | 2 |
| Ball | \$4 | \$5 | 2 |
| Ball | \$4 | \$6 | 2 |
| Ball | \$4 | \$7 | 2 |
| Pen | \$1 | \$3 | 7 |
| Pen | \$1 | \$4 | 7 |
| Pen | \$1 | \$5 | 8 |
| Pen | \$1 | \$6 | 8 |
| Pen | \$1 | \$7 | 8 |
| Pen | \$3 | \$3 | 1 |
| Pen | \$3 | \$4 | 1 |
| Pen | \$3 | \$5 | 1 |
| Pen | \$3 | \$6 | 1 |
| Pen | \$3 | \$7 | 0 |

## GILT

Multiple Knapsack Problem / Bin-packing problem

- All items must be priced
- Each item must have only one price
- Sum of all prices should equal to one and only one total

```
set Look;
set Price := 1..10000;
set Total := 1..100000;
set Look_Price_Total within {I in Look, p in Price, t in Total};
param price {(l,p,t) in Look_Price_Total}, >= 0, integer := p;
param demand {Look_Price_Total}, >= 0, integer;
param revenue{(l,p,t) in Look_Price_Total} := price[l,p,t] * demand[l,p,t];
param orig_price {Look_Price_Total}, >= 0, integer, default 0;
param base_price {Look_Price_Total}, >= 0, integer, default 0;
param msrp_price {Look_Price_Total}, >= 0, integer, default 0;
param num_units_available {Look_Price_Total}, >= 0, integer, default 0;
set Unique_Total := setof{(l,p,t) in Look_Price_Total} t;
var Use {Look_Price_Total} binary;
var Use_Total {Unique_Total} binary;
maximize Revenue: sum{(l,p,t) in Look_Price_Total} revenue[l,p,t] * Use[l,p,t];
s.t. one_of_each{l in Look}: sum{(l,p,t) in Look_Price_Total} Use[l,p,t] = 1;
s.t. single_total: sum{t in Unique_Total} Use_Total[t] = 1;
s.t. price_sum_is_total{t in Unique_Total}:
    sum{(l,p,t) in Look_Price_Total} price[I,p,t] * Use[l,p,t] = t * Use_Total[t];
```

```
set Look := Ball Pen;
```

param: Look_Price_Total: demand :=
Ball 234
Ball 244
Ball 254
Ball 263
Ball 273
Ball 432
Ball 442
Ball $4 \quad 5 \quad 2$
Ball $4 \quad 6 \quad 2$
Ball $4 \quad 7 \quad 2$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Pen } & 1 & 3 & 7\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Pen } & 1 & 4 & 7\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Pen } & 1 & 5 & 8\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Pen } & 1 & 6\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Pen } & 1 & 7 & 8\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Pen } & 3 & 1\end{array}$
Pen $3 \quad 4 \quad 1$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Pen } & 3 & 5 & 1\end{array}$
Pen $3 \quad 6 \quad 1$
Pen 370 ;

## Modeling and Solving Real-world Problems

- We modeled the problem using OpenRules and JSR-331 Standard
- Real optimization problems consist of hundreds of thousands records:
- We used JSR-331 Constraint Solvers to validate the problem correctness. But actual problems were too large for constraint solvers
- We tried various JSR-331 Linear Solvers (GLPK, LP-Solve, COIN suite, SCIP, and others)
- None was able to solve large problems in a reasonable time or at all
- OpenRules was able to create a rules-based decision model that automatically splits one large problem into a set of smaller sub-problems (one for every individual total cost)
- While there may be thousands of sub-problems, JSR-331 Linear Solvers are able to quickly solve them
- Then OpenRules decision model analyzes all found solutions to come up with the optimal solution that satisfy a configurable combined objective - a maximal combination of Revenue, Margin, and Sell-Through
- Big advantage of this approach: it can be parallelized to solve even much larger problems!
- We applied a combination of Machine Learning, Business Rules, and Multi-Objective Optimization to solve a realworld operational problem - flash sale price optimization
- The pricing methodology and tools that support each of these 3 decision management techniques were readily available and quite powerful
- However, the production-level problems required a special ingenious approach to actually solve these problems



## Questions?

Igor Elbert

